| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|--|--------------------------------|---------------------------|
| | 10/713,314 | DIONNE ET AL. |
| | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | DHIRU R PATEL | 2831 |
| All Participants: Status of Application: | | |
| (1) <u>DHIRU R PATEL</u> . | (3) <u>Hieken Charles</u> . | |
| (2) <u>David Feigenbaum</u> . | (4) | |
| Date of Interview: 11/15/04 11/24/04 | Time: | |
| Type of Interview: ☑ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference | nt's representative) | |
| Part I. | | |
| Rejection(s) discussed: | | |
| | | |
| Claims discussed: | | |
| | | |
| Prior art documents discussed: | | |
| | | |
| Part II. | | o picolicoró. |
| SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Specification being revised and put the case in condition for allowance. | | |
| Part III. | | |
| It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| Dhirur Patel 11/27104 | | |
| DHIRUR. PATEL 1/27104 PRIMARY EXAMINER | - | |
| | 'Applicant's Representative Si | gnature – if appropriate) |